

## Classroom Interaction between Traditional Language Teaching Methods and ESP approach.

التفاعل في قسم الإنجليزية بين أنماط تدريس اللغات  
التقليدية ومقاربة  
تدريس لغة التخصص.

**Aissa HANIFI \***

University of Hassiba Ben Bouali, Algeria ,aissahanifi800@yahoo.com

تاريخ الاستلام: 2022/04/23 تاريخ القبول: 2022/05/22 تاريخ النشر: 2022/06/30

### Abstract:

Teaching methods or approaches refer generally to the general theories and principles, pedagogy and management strategies used for classroom instruction. Teachers often resort to use different teaching philosophy and approaches that would determine the type of classroom interaction they tend to employ. Some teachers encourage the students , native language in order to teach English through what is known the grammar translation method. Though most teachers do not strictly follow one method or strategy to create one effective classroom interaction, it is commonly believed that students will not learn to produce a language without being exposed to both grammar and vocabulary of the target language. The current paper is going to shed light on how the different language teaching approaches and methods overlap and can be integrated in the ESP classroom.

**Keywords :** Approaches , ESP classroom , Interaction, Language Methods

الملخص:

تشير طرق أو مناهج التدريس بشكل عام إلى النظريات والمبادئ العامة، واستراتيجيات التربية والإدارة المستخدمة في التدريس في الفصول الدراسية. غالبًا ما يلجأ المعلمون إلى استخدام فلسفة وأساليب تدريس مختلفة من شأنها أن تحدد نوع التفاعل في الفصل الدراسي الذي يميلون إلى استخدامه يشجع بعض المعلمين اللغة الأم للطلاب لتعليم اللغة الإنجليزية من خلال ما يعرف بطريقة الترجمة النحوية على الرغم من أن معظم المعلمين لا يتبعون بصراحة طريقة أو استراتيجية واحدة لإنشاء تفاعل واحد فعال في الفصل الدراسي من الشائع أن الطلاب لن يتعلموا إنتاج لغة دون التعرض لكل من القواعد والمفردات اللغوية للغة الهدف ستسلط الورقة الحالية الضوء على كيفية تداخل مناهج وطرق تدريس اللغة المختلفة ويمكن دمجه في قسم اللغة الإنجليزية المختصة.

**الكلمات المفتاحية:** المقاربات، قسم اللغة المختصة، التفاعل، اللغة، الطرق.

## 1-Introduction :

The Grammar Translation Method, the Audio-Lingual Method, the Communicative Approach , the Silent Method and the Interactionists' Theory and their relation to language classroom interaction are major teaching methods that are to be reviewed in the forthcoming sections .By all means these are some methods among other universal aspects teaching theories and methods that deserve to be investigated as well , yet more emphasis was on the theories and approaches that have already dealt with in the EFL Algerian teaching context and that have close relationship with language classroom interaction. Being a branch of the tree of English Language Teaching (ELT), few studies have been devoted to uncover the issue on interaction in ESP classroom .With regard to ESP classroom where more is on the learner's needs , more focus should be on the approaches that develop the learner's language skills .Yet, it would seem beneficial to examine the role of most language teaching approaches and how the overlap to develop the ESP learner interactive skills.

## 2-Definition of Major Language Teaching Approaches and Methods

Before shedding light on the main language teaching approaches and methods, these three concepts, approach, method and technique need to be reviewed and clarified .Edward Anthony ( 1965 as quoted in Miliani 2003, p.20-24) defined these terms as follows:

*“Approach is a set of correlative assumptions dealing with the nature of language teaching and learning. An approach is axiomatic. It describes the nature of the subject matter to be taught ....*

*"Method is an overall plan for the orderly presentation of language material, no part of which contradicts, and all of which is based upon, the selected approach. An approach is axiomatic, a method is procedural. Within one approach, there can be many methods.... "*

*"Technique is implementational - that which actually takes place in a classroom.... "*

### **3-The Grammar Translation Method**

Also called alternatively the Classical Method to language teaching, the Grammar Translation Method (GTM) advocates more the knowledge of grammatical rules, the memorisation of vocabulary and the translation of texts. Being adopted as the chief method for teaching foreign languages in the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries, the GTM gave little emphasis to the oral side of learning and gave more importance to the practice of written exercises and to the development of the students’ reading proficiency in the target language. The GTM was founded on major characteristics that Richards and Rodgers (1986) summarised as follows :

- 1) - More focus should be on reading and writing; little or no attention should be given to speaking and listening.
- 2) - Little or no attention is paid to pronunciation.
- 3)-The target language should be actively used in the language class.
- 4)-The vocabularies are learnt in the form of isolated lists via the reading texts.

5)-Little importance is given to the content of texts: more focus should be on the understanding of the grammar of the language.

According to Richards and Rodgers (1986), this method does not allow for a real enhancement of the students communicative skills' development. The teachers who use the Grammar Translation Method seem to perform the traditional authoritative role who know everything .The students are exposed to grammar rules and examples deductively , memorise them and use them eventually in examples of their own. The GTM seems to be a teacher-centred method where little importance is given to classroom interaction .In fact, most of the classroom interaction in the GTM approach is from the teacher to the students with little student initiation and student-student interaction. The students are also tolerated to use their mother tongue as a means to translate the grammatical rules and the vocabulary of the target language.

With regard to the target study ESP teaching and learning situation( Class of political Sciences students), the English teachers of both undergraduate and postgraduate students' level seem to apply much of the GTM characteristics in their language classroom teaching process. The teachers tend to devote time to the grammar and political vocabulary with the use of the students' mother tongue sometimes (Arabic).Teachers also often set out reading comprehension questions to political texts where students are asked to find either antonyms or synonyms to a set of words. Moreover, Students are exposed to grammar lessons where rules are presented with examples then they are asked to apply the assimilated rules to some other different examples. Eventually, along with reading comprehension texts, the students are given short passages with missing words and asked to fill in the blanks with new vocabulary or grammar items. Such selected tasks are all teaching activities that are closely related to GTM method.

#### **4. The Audio-Lingual Method**

Based on Skinner's behaviourist theory, The Audio-Lingual Method (ALM) came as an aftermath of the success of what is known as 'the Army Metho'; as set of intensive language courses which were provided to the US army after World War Two. The ALM which was adopted as a new methodology in the 1950's is an oral based approach the enhancement of the students' speaking and listening skills using dialogues and oral drills.

According to Miliani (ibid , p.13) , this approach attempted to answer two questions. "*What is language?*" And, *how do people learn languages?*" Richards and Rodgers (ibid.) summed the characteristics of the Audio-Lingual approach in the following :

- 1)- There is little focus on the explanation of grammar rules which are often taught by inductive method;
- 2)-mimicry and memorisation of phrases is favoured and more importance is given to pronunciation;
- 3)-communication is enhanced by small classes of usually 10 persons with much use of tapes, language laboratories, and visual aids;
- 4)-dialogue form is the basis of new material presentation and little attention to vocabulary which is learnt in context;
- 5)-speaking the target language goes first and students are encouraged to produce error-free sentences;

The Audi-Lingual approach is considered to be ultimately teacher-centred when the teacher assumes the role of the teaching process director and the first model of language instruction. Richards and Rodgers (2001, p. 62-3) sums up some of the main roles to be performed by the teacher in the Audio-Lingual approach in the following:

- 1)- He manages ( introduces and directs) the four skills : listening, speaking, reading and writing;

- 2)-corrects his students' mistakes and elicit correct responses;
- 3)-uses a variety of drills and exercises to keep the flow of learning.

On the other hand, seen as "*organisms that can be directed by skilled training techniques to produce correct responses*" ( Richards and Rodgers , 2001, p.62), the students are drilled to repeat what the teacher says to learn the accurate structure ( most often without understanding its meaning) and not allowed to initiate interaction; a fact that hinders learning.

Although grammar received little effort and time and speaking gained more areas of practice and importance in the Audio-Lingual approach; classroom interaction was hindered by the automatised drills and memorised sentences that students were ultimately drilled on in the Audio-Lingual method.

### **5- Communicative Language Learning Method**

Compared to the previously mentioned methods and approaches, the communicative method seems to have more "fans" among nowadays language teachers. According to Richards (2006), "*...the majority of language teachers today, when asked to identify the methodology they employ in their classrooms, mention "communicative" as the methodology of choice*». However , the word "communicative" would have different meanings in the teacher's actual classroom language teaching practice . Hence, Richards (Ibid.) wonders about the varied explanations to the word "communicative" given by those teachers , "*Does communicative language teaching, or CLT, mean teaching conversation, an absence of grammar in a course, or an emphasis on open-ended discussion activities as the main features of a course? What do you understand by communicative language teaching?*"

The approach came as a result of the criticism made to the Structuralists whose views left the learner unable to communicate adequately. Hence, the approach advocators are more concerned with the learner's linguistic

development. Indeed , the learners under this approach are asked to communicate effectively through language and go beyond the language grammar and vocabulary learning. According to Wilkins (1976, p.19) ,

*"The advantage of the notional syllabus is that it takes the communicative facts of language into account from the beginning without losing sight of grammatical and situational factors. It is potentially superior to the grammatical syllabus because it will produce a communicative competence and because its evident concern with the use of the language will sustain the motivation of the learner"*.

Communicative Language Teaching was coined the around the term *communicative approach* as opposed to the concept of *grammatical competence* .Richards (ibid.) defines the grammatical competence as the ability to produce grammatically correct sentences in a language .This includes the knowledge of building *block of sentences* (parts of speech, tenses, phrases, clauses, sentence patterns).According to Richards ( ibid.) , Although the grammatical competence is an important requirement for language learning , it does not allow the learners a successful and meaningful language communication ; a language dimension that was understood by the communicative competence that entails the following language knowledge:

- *"Knowing how to use language for a range of different purposes and functions;*
- *Knowing how to vary our use of language according to the setting and the participants (e.g., knowing when to use formal and informal speech or when to use language appropriately for written as opposed to spoken communication);*
- *Knowing how to produce and understand different types of texts (e.g., narratives, reports, interviews, conversations);*

- *Knowing how to maintain communication despite having limitations in one's language knowledge (e.g., through using different kinds of communication strategies)" (Richards, ibid.)*

With regard to classroom interaction, the CLT (Communicative Language Teaching) viewed learning ultimately as the result of interaction between learner and the users of language who collaborate through purposeful interaction and create meaning through appropriate language use ( Richards, ibid.).The CLT advocates believe that language learning is best facilitated through classroom activities such as role plays, pair and group work activities and project work. Nevertheless, after attending some of the English lessons with the political class students, I have noticed that they still stick to traditional formats that focus more on the mastery of different grammar items through controlled activities and practice.

## **6-The Silent Method**

Originated by Dr.Caleb Gategno, the silent method originally viewed as a flexible way of teaching based on the belief that all human beings have cognitive capabilities for self-learning. According to Richards and Rodgers (1986), the method is summed up in the following principles:

- "1. Learning is facilitated if the learner discovers or creates rather than remembers and repeats what is to be learned;*
- 2. Learning is facilitated by accompanying (mediating) physical objects;*
- 3. Learning is facilitated by problem solving involving the material to be learned."*

As the term can plainly refer to, the teacher should remain silent as much as possible in the Silent Method to encourage the learner to produce as much language as possible. The Silent Way adopts a teaching method based on a 'building blocks' approach where the teacher undertakes introducing the new idea



then the class use of the structure until it is clearly assimilated. Yet, the teacher can intervene to add new sparingly to keep the students' communication going on in way that can enable them incorporate the added items in the existing stock of language.

Under the Silent Way, the learners' responsibility and autonomy are developed through discovery-learning procedures. The method is close to the learner-centred approach where the teacher remains silent in most of the lesson phases and assumes the role of the stimulator.

With regard to classroom interaction, in the Silent Method the interaction is limited to the interaction between learners themselves rather than the learners and their teacher. They are encouraged to co-operate with each other to solve language problems. One advantage in the Silent Way is that errors are tolerated and learners can experiment each time new possibilities with language. Interaction with the teacher happens sparingly in the few times of his modelling or in case he asks the learner to repeat the structure in mime.

Though little literature is depicted around Silent Way; a premise that may suggest that the Method is not of much significance in the area of foreign language learning, the method can lead to useful techniques such as problem solving that help consult the student's understanding and his or her level of intelligence.

## **7- Classroom interaction Future Developments and Challenges**

Many teachers do much to improve their students' language classroom interaction with the belief of its importance in the enhancement of their learning process though some of them still pay little attention to classroom interaction in

their education programmes (Walsh, 2006).Walsh (2014) confirms that the classroom interaction will only improve if teachers manage to understand the local context .This comprises the learners' learning environment, the language status , the learning objectives , the availability of teaching materials , ect... .

The second challenge that teachers need to cope with is the one related to Classroom Interactional Competence (CIC , Walsh, 2013).Indeed, interactional competence levels vary from one teacher to teacher and from one context to another .Competent teachers are those who manage interaction in such a way that maximise learning opportunities while others are not very adept at interaction management as they might use strategies that may impede opportunities for learning (Walsh, 2002).

Walsh (2014, 31) defines CIC as “*the teachers and learners' ability to use interaction as a tool for mediating and assisting learning.*” With regard to the teachers, this can be achieved in a number of ways .First, they need to establish that language use and pedagogic goals work together to exhibit an important feature of CIC. Besides, the CIC involves the appropriate management of the extended wait time (allowing the appropriate amount time after asking each question).This needs to be assisted by the teacher's scaffolding such as introducing a new vocabulary or a new phrase when needed.

According to Walsh (ibid.), one important challenge that may face teachers in the future is the need to to understand the relationship between classroom interaction and classroom methodologies.To accomplish such a task, teachers provide answers to questions such as “*does the task-type affect interaction? What is the consequence for learning? How might more effective management of classroom result in a more engaged, more dialogic type of*

*learning? And what do we know of the importance of interaction during feedback following a task?"*

With regard to the challenges that learner might face and perhaps the most difficult one as depicted by Walsh (ibid.) is what new possible role learners need to play in the classroom discourse. In this regard, Walsh (ibid.) states that the learner needs to perform an equal role with the teacher instead of just answering questions , responding to cues , following teacher's initiative , and all other common students' interactive tasks in most content-based subjects. Hence , learners in future language classroom *need to both ask and answer questions , to interrupt where appropriate , to take the initiative , seize the floor , hold a turn , and so on"* ( Walsh, ibid).

## **8- Conclusion**

In this paper , more light was shed on important aspects related to classroom interaction for EFL students in general and with ESP learners in particular .This swept over relevant issues such as the major components of the classroom discourse ( the teacher , the student , the learning context) and the IRF pattern .The discussion moved on to depict the factors that may affect the classroom interaction which covered the teacher's affective factors , the students' motivation and anxiety and contextual factors .The study ended by shedding light on the relationship between teaching methods and classroom interaction. Eventually, it was interesting to expose some of the possible challenges that both teachers and learners may face and need to cope with in the future classroom interaction context.

## **References:**

- Miliani.M.( 2003). Foreign Language Teaching Approaches, Methods and Techniques.*Editions Dar Elgharb.*
- Richards, Jack and Rodgers, Theodore. (1986). *Approaches and Methods in Language Teaching*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
- Richards, J C.andRodgers,T.(2001). *Approaches and Methods in Language Teaching.Second Edition*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Richards, J., C.,( 2006).*Communicative Language Teaching Today*.Cambridge University Press.
- Walsh ,S.( 2002).Construction or obstruction:teacher talk and learner involvement in the EFL classroom. *Language Teaching Research*, 6,3-23
- Walsh , S.( 2006).*Investigating classroom discourse*.London.Routledge
- Walsh, S. ( 2013).*classroom discourse and teacher development* .Edinburgh, Scotand : Edinburgh University.
- Walsh, S .( 2014).*Classroom Interaction for Language teachers*.Virginia 22314 USA.  
TESOL Press
- WILKINS, D. 1976 Notional Syllabuses, 0 U P .