Experimental and Theoretical Study of The Structure / Properties Relationship of a Derivative of The Organic Compound Morpholine

M.Drissi¹, S.Soualmi¹, M.Moumene¹, A.Amcha², A.Chouaih² ¹Laboratory of synthesis and Catalysis, University of Tiaret, Algeria ²Laboratory of Technology and Solid Properties, University of Mostaganem

<u>mokadrissi@gmail.com</u> <u>saida.soualmi@univ-tiaret.dz</u> <u>taki407@yahoo.com</u> <u>aekamcha6@gmail.com</u> <u>aek_chouaih@yahoo.fr</u>

Abstract—In the title compound, C18H19NO3S, the Morpholine ring adopts a chair conformation. The Thiophene ring forms dihedral angles of 26.04 (9) and 74.07 (10) with the benzene ring and the mean plane of the Morpholine ring, respectively. The crystal structure of a new organic compound with the chemical formula C₁₈H₁₉NO₃S, was determined by X-ray diffraction and theoretical calculations to establish the configuration, stereochemistry and stable conformation of the molecule. The theoretical calculations werecarried out using the density functional theory (DFT) (B3LYP) with the bases 6-31G (d, p) and 6-311 (d, p). The molecular conformation is stabilized by an O-HN hydrogen bond. In the crystal, molecules are connected through C-HO hydrogen bonds, forming wave-like layers parallel to the ab plane, which are further linked into a threedimensional network by C-H interactions involving the benzene rings and the methylene H atoms of the morpholine rings. For highlighting the intra- and intermolecularchargetransfer nature, the molecular electrostatic potential has been analyzed. The molecular dipole moments were calculated and compared with those obtained using theoretical calculations. The NLO behavior of the title compound was examined by computing the molecular polarizabilities α andβ.

Index Terms—Chalcones ; Crystal structure; Electron density (ED);DFT;NLO; HOMO; LUMO.

"This work was supported in part by the 1st National Conference on Synthesis and Catalysis, Tiaret (CNSCT, 2021)

- M. Drissi is with the Department of Chemistry, University of Tiaret.14000, (e-mail: <u>mokhtaria.drissi@univ-tiaret.dz</u>).
- S. Soualmi is with the Department of Chemistry, University of Tiaret, (e-mail: <u>saida.soualmi@univ-tiaret.dz</u>).
- M.Moumeneis with the Department of Chemistry, University of Tiaret.14000.(e-mail: taki407@yahoo.com
- A. Chouaih is with Department of Chemistry; University of Mostaganem27000 (e-mail: Abdelkader.chouaih@univ-mosta.dz).

I.INTRODUCTION

HALCONES, *viz*1,3-diaryl-2-propene-1-ones, are major component of many natural products as well as important precursors for many synthetic manipulations [1-4]. Chalcones and their synthetic analogues display a wide range of biological activities such as anticancer, antimalarial, antibacterial, anti- inflammatory, antifungal, antioxidant, anti-*HIV*, anti- protozoal, and carbonic anhydrase inhibiting activities [5,8].



The title compound was designed with the expectation of observing an increased bioactivity or cyto-toxicity in a molecule including both chalconeand Mannich base pharmakophores[9].

II.EXPERIMENTAL CALCULATIONS

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 1. C-bound H atoms were placed in calculated positions with C—H = 0.93-0.97 A° and refined using a riding model with Uiso(H) = 1.2Ueq(C). The hydroxy H atom was found in a difference-Fourier map and refined with Uiso(H) = 1.5Ueq(O).

In the title compound (Fig. 1), the molecular conformation is enforced by an intramolecular O—HN hydrogen bond (Table 2) and the amine N atoms of the morpholine rings and the hydroxy groups of the phenol fragments are engaged in intramolecular hydrogenbonds.



A. Multipolar Experimental Refinement

Electron density (ED) analysis has been carried out using Hansen-Coppens multipolar atom model [10]. The refinements were performed using MoPro package software [11]. The Hansen-Coppens multipolar refinement enables modeling of the non-spherical fraction of the atomic ED using the atomcentered multipole functions as described by following equation:

$$\begin{split} \rho_{atom}(\vec{r}) &= \rho_{c}(\vec{r}) + P_{V}K'^{3}\rho_{v}(K\vec{r}) \\ &+ \sum_{l}^{lmax}\sum_{m=-1}^{+1}K''R_{l}(K''\vec{r})P_{lm}Y_{lm}\left(\frac{\vec{r}}{r}\right) \end{split}$$

Where: ρ_c and ρ_v are normalized spherically averaged freeatom core and valence densities, respectively; $(\kappa'\zeta r)$ is a Slater-type radial function and $dl(\theta, \phi)$ are density normalized real spherical harmonic functions. The populations Pv and Plmp, and the dimensionless expansion contraction parameters κ and κ' are refined against experimental data, while the population Pc of the core shell remains fixed. Zparameter is described by Hansen-Coppens model. In this work, multipolar refinement equation was developed to the third order (lmax = 3). The radial function coefficients for H-atoms were n1 = 1and n1 = 2 and 3 for non-H atoms. Scattering factors for non-H atoms have been taken from the international tables for Xray crystallography [12] and for the H-atoms Stewart data were used [13]. During the refinement, isotropic extinction correction has been applied and chemical constraints were imposed in order to limit the number of variables [14]. In parallel, the topological parameters and the molecular dipole moment of the title compound were also estimated. For highlighting the intra- and intermolecular charge transfer nature, the molecular electrostatic potential has been analyzed. All experimental ED deformation and electrostatic potential maps were plotted using the Moporo Viewer program [15]. Crystallographic details and refinement data are summarized in Table 1.

III. THEORETICAL CALCULATIONS

A quantum-chemical calculation was performed using the *CNDO* (Complete Neglect of Differential Overlap) approximation of the program Gaussian [16-17]. The charges at atoms S1, O1, O2, O3 and N1 are -0.049, -0.336, -0.271, -0.224 and -0.145 e⁻, respectively.

In addition, the geometrical optimization calculations of the title compound were performed using the *PM3* (Parameterized Model number3) method in *WinMopac7.2.*Aviewofthemoleculecalculatedwith*PM3* is shown in Fig. 2. The net charges at atoms S1, O1, O2, O3 and N1 are -0.321,-0.230, -0.260, -0.321 and-0.070 e, respectively.

Table 1: Experimental details

Crystal data					
Themical formula					
	$C_{18}H_{19}NO_3S$				
chemical formula	329.40				
Tell setting	Monoclinic				
Space group	P_2/n				
i (Å)	9.4939(5)				
p (Å)	18.5548 (10)				
(Å)	9.5068 (5)				
<i>3</i> (°)	96.788 (3)°				
V (Å ³)	1662.95 (15)				
Z	4				
Radiation type	Mo $K\alpha$				
Wavelength (A)	0.71073				
No. of reflections	25				
or cell parameters	202(1)				
emperature (K)	293(1)				
Data collection					
Diffractometer	Nonius CAD-4				
Data collection	θ - 2 θ				
nethod					
emax, θmin	28.5°, 2.2°				
No. of measured	33902				
vie of	4169				
ndependentreflecti	4108				
ons					
No. of observed	3373				
reflections					
Criterion for	$I \ge 2\sigma(I)$				
observed	- ()				
reflections					
R _{int}	0.033				
Refinement					
	N	D	wR	G	
	11	ĸ	,,,,,,	3	
Jula - vi - al	02	0.029	0.040	1.02	
spnerical	92	0.038	0.040	1.02	
Aultipole	221	0.022	0.035	1.12	
efinement	221	0.022	0.055	1.12	
Chemical formula Chemical formula veight Cell setting Space group a (Å) a (a (Å) a (a (Å) a (a (C ₁₈ H ₁₉ NO.	$_{3}S$ Mon 9,49 18.55 9,67 1662.9 0 29 Nonius 0 28.5 41 33 $I \ge$ R 0.038 0.022	329.40 oclinic $P2_{1}/n$ $39 (5))$ $48 (10)$ $068 (5)$ $88 (3)^{\circ}$ $95 (15)$ 4 Mo Ka 7.1073 25 $3(1)$ $CAD-4$ $\theta - 2\theta$ $\circ, 2.2^{\circ}$ 33902 168 373 $2\sigma(I)$ 0.033 wR 0.040 0.035	<u>S</u> 1.02 1.12	

N is the number of refined parameters and *M* is the number of observations. $R = \sum |F_o| - |F_c| / \sum |F_o|;$ $wR = \left[\sum w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2 / \sum w|F_o|^2\right]^{\frac{N}{2}}; S = \left[\sum w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2 / (M - N)\right]^{\frac{N}{2}}$



Figure2: Numbering of atoms for the title crystal: optimized structure

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

IV.1. Topological analysis of ED

Topological analysis of the experimental ED was performed with Mopro program. The equation used to calculate the final ED deformation map is:

$$\Delta(\vec{r}) = \rho_{mult}(\vec{r}) - \rho_{sph}(\vec{r})$$

 $=1/V\sum[|F_{mul}(\vec{r})e^{i\varphi mul(\vec{r})}-|Fsph(\vec{r})e^{i\varphi sph(\vec{r})}]e^{-i2\pi H^{\dagger}\cdot\vec{r}}$

Multipole structure factors $Fmul(\vec{r})$ were used to obtain this map, where $Fsph(\vec{r})$ is evaluated from high-order refinement. The experimental ED deformation maps are shown in Figure 5 with contour map of 0.05 e.Å⁻³. As can be noticed in Figure 5, the density peaks appear on all the chemical bonds. Figure 5(a) shows the ED in the benzene ring containing atoms form C9 to C14. It can be seen that the ED distribution is practically located on the chemical bonds. Furthermore, symmetrical distribution of electrons along C18-N1 and C15-N1 bonds shows clearly the multi connecting part (triple bond). Figure 5(b) shows the electron density distribution with advanced contours for the same cycle in which are observed the lonepair charge concentrations of N atoms. To more study the electron density of cyano groups, we have explored a second plane perpendicular to the aromatic ring attached these groups, as can be seen in Figures 5(c) and 5(d). It is noted that the triple bond between the nitrogen and the carbon is rich in electrons due to the valence electrons of nitrogen atoms.



Figure 5: Deformation ED maps. Contour map of 0.05 e.Å-3. The blue coulour indicate the positive density and the red negative density: (a) Six-membered ring (C9-C14),(b): Ring (S-C1-C2-C3-C4) of thiophenwith advanced contour).



Figure 5: Deformation ED maps. Contour map of 0.05 e.Å-3. The blue coulour indicate the positive density and the red negative density: tours, (c): AroundC7=O1 bond, (d) Around C18-N1 and C15-N1 bonds).

	D		F
Atome	Pval	q (unité)	Q thee
	4.054	-0.054	-0.426
Hl	0.920	0.08	0.171
C2	4.054	-0.054	-0.022
H2	0.920	0.08	0.167
C3	4.002	-0.002	-0.098
H3	0.920	0.08	0.208
C4	4.002	-0.002	-0.482
S	6.120	-0.120	0.501
C5	4.054	-0.054	-0.133
Н5	0.920	0.08	0.173
C6	4.054	0.054	-0.127
H6	0.055	0.945	0.186
C7	4.113	-0.113	0.271
01	6.226	-0.226	-0.398
C8	3.938	0.062	-0.236
С9	4.054	-0.054	-0.056
Н9	0.920	0.08	0.172
C10	4.054	-0.054	-0.037
H10	0.920	0.08	0.193
C11	4.023	-0.023	0.030
02	6.258	-0.258	-0.631
Н	0.814	0.186	0.380
C12	4.041	-0.041	-0.159
C13	4.054	-0.054	0.249
H13	0.920	0.08	0.167
C14	3.865	0.135	-0.251
H14 _a	0.974	0.026	0.177
H14 _b	0.974	0.026	0.176
C15	3.865	0.135	-0.177
H15a	0.974	0.026	0.161
Н15ь	0.974	0.026	0.183
C16	3.891	0.109	-0.164
H16a	0.990	0.01	0.176
H16 _b	0.990	0.01	0.171
Ν	5 212	-0.212	-0 533
03	6 2 3 9	-0.239	-0.425
C17	3 891	0.109	-0.135
H17 _a	0.990	0.01	0.162
H17 _b	0.990	0.01	0.201
C18	3 865	0.135	-0.131
H18.	0.97/	0.026	0.151
H18	0.974	0.020	0.100
	0.274	0.020	0.1/4

Tableau III 1 Charges nettes (a) nour les différents atomes du composé

IV.2. Electrostatic potential

Generally, the electrostatic potential (ESP) may be obtained from X-ray diffraction data or by quantum chemical calculation using theoretical methods. Hence, reactive areas for nucleophilic and electrophilic attacks can be predicted by ESP determination. For our molecule, as can be seen in Figure 9(a), the ESP map provided by the multipolar refinement is plotted on an ED isosurface. Figure 9(b) shows the theoretical ESP map computed with DFT/B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level of theory. As can be noted, experimental and theoretical results are wholly similar. From these results and as expected, oxygen and nitrogen atoms, namely O1, O2, N1, N2 and N3, constitute the most electronegative region which are favorable sites for electrophilic attack. Whereas, the aromatic carbon and hydrogen atoms represent the electropositive region implying that these areas are favorable sites for nucleophilic attack.

Figure 7: Electrostatic potential map: (a) experimental, (b) theoretical)



IV.3. Non-linear optical properties

For organic NLO materials, the origin of nonlinear behavior has been studied by conducting theoretical and experimental studies [19]. Theoretical calculation plays a significant role in understanding the structure-property relationship which is able to help in designing novel NLO materials. In this context and to understand this phenomenon regarding the investigated molecule, we have seen that it is indispensable to extend this study to the determination of the polarizability α and the first hyperpolarizability β . NLO computations were accomplished with the help of quantum chemical methods in particular Hartree-Fock (HF), density functional theory (DFT/B3LYP) and Møller-Plesset (MP2), using 6-31G(d,p) basis set. In addition, PM6 semi-empirical method was used for comparison. The following conventional equations were used to estimate the isotropic polarizability (α) and the first hyperpolarizability (β) tensors:

$$\mu = (\mu_{x}^{2} + \mu_{y}^{2} + \mu_{z}^{2})$$
$$a_{tot} = \frac{a_{xx} + a_{yy} + a_{zz}}{3}$$

The whole equation for computing β magnitude is given below:

 $\beta_{tot} = (\beta_x^2 + \beta_y^2 + \beta_z^2)^{1/2}$ With $\beta_x = \beta_{xxx} + \beta_{xyy} + \beta_{xzz}$ $\beta_y = \beta_{yyy} + \beta_{xxy} + \beta_{yzz}$ $\beta_z = \beta_{zzz} + \beta_{xxz} + \beta_{yyz}$ As β is depicted by a 3×3×3 matrices and using symmetry reported by Kleinman[20], the 3D matrix is reduced to 10 components which are provided by Gaussian program output. These tensors are given in atomic units (a.u) and were converted into electrostatic units. (α : 1 au = 0.1482×10⁻²⁴esu; β : 1 au = 8.6393×10⁻³³esu).

Usually, the ONL activity is directly related to the values of polarizability and hyperpolarizability. The calculated polarizability (α) obtained with B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) basis set is equal to 4.16×10^{-23} esu. As it can be seen from results, the diagonal components are dominant in the computed α_{ij} tensors. The most important β value of the title molecule is about 28.60×10⁻³⁰esu calculated with B3LYP/6-31G(d,p). Thus, computed low β value for the title molecule (7.92×10⁻³⁰esu obtained at HF/6-31G(d,p)) compared to that of urea (0.1947×10⁻³⁰esu) is about 40 times. Therefore, these results indicate that the title molecule have significant NLO comportment.

Table 4 :Polarizability (a) and hyperpolarizability (β) values of the C21H13N3O2 molecule obtained by HF, DFT/B3LYP and MP2 methods using 6-31G(d,p) basis set along with the PM6 semi-empirical method.

	HF/6-311G+(d)	DFT/6-311G+(d)	
α _{xx}	357.420	422.543	
α _{xy}	-9.815	-9.475	
α _{yy}	204.781	216.255	
α _{xz}	23.881	25.367	
α_{yz}	-0.826	-0.144	
α _{zz}	126.680	132.133	
α (u.a)	229.627	770.931	
α×10 ⁻²³ (esu)	3.403	11.425	
β _{xxx}	-242.318	261.078	
β _{xxy}	-840.092	-1118.548	
B _{xyy}	181.143	402.114	
B _{yyy}	12.098	-85.267	
B _{xxz}	-93.348	-121.309	
B _{xyz}	-45.399	-34.968	
β_{yyz}	22.997	28.164	
β_{xzz}	-26.571	22.832	
β _{yzz}	2.932	-46.150	
β _{zzz}	-29.225	-54.503	
β (u.a)	835.668	1437.795	
β×10 ⁻³⁰ (esu)	7.219	12.421	

IV.4. Frontier molecular orbital analysis

Molecular orbitals (HOMO-LUMO) and their properties such as energy are very useful for physicist and chemists and are very important parameters for quantum chemistry. This is also used by the frontier electron density for predicting the most reactive position in π -electron systems and also explains several types of reaction in conjugated system [21-22]. The conjugated molecules are characterized by a small highest occupied molecular orbital- lowest unoccupied molecular

orbital (HOMO-LUMO). Both the highest occupied molecular orbital and lowest unoccupied molecular orbital are the main orbitals which take part in chemical stability. The HOMO and LUMO energy calculated by B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) method is shown below. This electronic absorption corresponds to the transition from the ground to the first excited state and is mainly described by one electron excitation from the highest occupied molecular orbital to the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital. While the energy of the HOMO describe the ionization potential, LUMO energy is concerned by the electron affinity Energy difference between HOMO and LUMO orbital is called as energy gap which is an important stability for structures [23-24]. Recently, it has been shown that calculated energy gap between HOMO and LUMO can be very useful to prove the activity from intramolecular charge transfer. The plots of HOMO and LUMO are shown in figure-5.



Figure 8: Plots of HOMO and LUMO of (2E)-1-[4hydroxy-3-(morpholin -4-ylmethyl)-phenyl]-3-(thiophen-2-yl)prop-2-en-1-one

V. 5Conclusions

The experimental charge density of (2E)-1-[4-hydroxy-3-(morpholin -4-ylmethyl)-phenyl]-3-(thiophen-2-yl)prop-2-en-1-onewas investigated by means of multipolar refinement using single crystal X-ray diffraction data collected at low temperature. Directional C-H···O and O-H···N intermolecular interactions have been highlighted using Hirshfeld surface analysis. The close contacts with their individual contributions were established by the fingerprint plots. The high quality of the ED is confirmed by the accumulation of deformation densities on the chemical bonds obtained from multipolar refinement. In order to investigate the electrostatic behavior of the crystal, the X-ray charge density study was carried out. The experimental electrostatic potential was determined and compared to that computed using DFT method. In addition, ESP maps show that the hydrogen atoms represent the positive potential regions while the electronegative atoms constitute the negative potential regions. Negative and positive regions in the molecule are very important for establishing intra- and intermolecular contacts. Nonlinear optical properties have been calculated using computational methods. This study that the title molecule has a significant reveals hyperpolarizability and can be used to develop NLO materials. Finally, structural details, topological, electrostatic and NLO

properties reported in this study can be helpful for phthalonitrile derivatives designing.

References

- Das, U., Gul, H. I., Alcorn, J., Shrivastav, A., George, T., Sharma, R. K., Nienaber, K. H., De Clercq, E., Balzarini, J., Kawase, M.,Kan, N., Tanaka, T., Tani, S., Werbovetz, K. A., Yakovich, A. J.,Manavathu, E. K., Stables, J. P. &Dimmock, J. R. Eur. J.Med. Chem. 41, 577–585. 2006.
- [2] Yerdelen, K. O., Gul, H. I., Sakagami, H., Umemura, N. &Sukuroglu, M. Lett. Drug. Des. Discov. 12, 643–649. 2015.
- [3] Gul, H. I., Cizmecioglu, M., Zencir, S., Gul, M., Canturk, P., Atalay, M. &Topcu, Z. J. Enzyme Inhib. Med. Chem. 24, 804–807.2009.
- [4] Gul, H. I., Yerdelen, K. O., Gul, M., Das, U., Pandit, B., Li, P.-K., Secen, H. &Sahin, F.2007. Arch. Pharm. Chem. Life Sci. 340, 195– 201. 2007.
- [5] Bilginer, S., Unluer, E., Gul, H. I., Mete, E., Isik, S., Vullo, D., Ozensoy-Guler, O., Beyaztas, S., Capasso, C. & Supuran, C. T. (2014). J. Enzyme Inhib. Med. Chem. 29, 495–499.
- [6] Bilginer, S., Gul, H. I., Mete, E., Das, U., Sakagami, H., Umemura, N.&Dimmock, J. R. (2013). J. Enzyme Inhib. Med. Chem. 28, 974– 980.
- [7] Singh, P., Anand, A. & Kumar, V. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 85, 758–777. 2014.
- [8] Yamali, C., Tugrak, M., Gul, H. I., Tanc, M. & Supuran, C. T.. J.EnzymeInhib. Med. Chem. 31, 1678–1681. 2016.
- [9] FatmaYesilyurt,a Abdullah Aydin,b* HaliseInciGul,a Mehmet Akkurtc andNefiseDilekOzcelikd.Acta Cryst. E74, 960–963.2018.
- [10] M. Drissi A. Chouaih, Y. Megrouss, F. Hamzaoui, Journal of Crystallography, 2013, ID 326457.2013.
- [11]C. Jelsch, B. Guillot, A. Lagoutte, C. Lecomte, J. Applied Crystallography 38, 38.2005.
- [12]E. Prince, A. J. C. Wilson, International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography, vol. C,2nd edition, Kluwer Academic, Boston, Mass, USA, 1999.
- [13] R. F. Stewart, E. R. Davidson, W. T. Simpson, The Journal of Chemical Physicsvol. 42(9),3175.1965.
- [14]P. Coppens, X-Ray Charge Densities and Chemical Bonding, Oxford, NewYork, NY, USA,1997.
- [15]B. Guillot, ActaCryst. A 68, 204.2012.
- [16] M.J. Frisch , G.W. Trucks , H.B. Schlegel , G.E. Scuseria , M.A. Robb , J.R. Cheese-man , G. Scalmani , V. Barone , B. Mennucci , G.A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H.P. Hratchian, A.F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J.L. Son-nenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara , K. Toyota , R. Fukuda , J. Hasegawa , M. Ishida , T. Nakajima , Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J.A. Montgomery Jr., J.E. Peralta , F. Ogliaro , M. Bearpark , J.J. Heyd , E. Brothers , K.N. Kudin , V.N. Staroverov , T. Keith , R. Kobayashi , J. Normand , K. Raghavachari, A. Ren- dell, J.C. Burant, S.S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi , N. Rega , J.M. Millam , M. Klene , J.E. Knox , J.B. Cross , V. Bakken , C. Adamo , J. Jaramillo , R. Gom- perts , R.E. Stratmann , O. Yazyev, A.J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J.W. Ochter-ski, R.L. Martin , K. Morokuma , V.G. Zakrzewski , G.A. Voth , P. Salvador , J.J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A.D. Daniels, O. Farkas, J.B. Foresman, J.V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski, D.J. Fox, Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2009.
- [17] R. Dennington. T. Keith. J. Millam: SemichemInc, Shawnee Mission KS. GaussView. Version 5, 2009.
- [18]D. Sajan, H. J. Ravindra, M. Neeraj, I. Hubert Joe, Vibrational Spectroscopy 54, 72.2010.
- [19]C. Jelsch, B. Guillot, A. Lagoutte, C. Lecomte, J. Applied Crystallography 38, 38 (2005).
- [20]R.S. Mulliken, A New Electroaffinity Scale; Together with Data on Valence States and on Valence Ionization Potentials and Electron Affinities, J. Chem. Phys. 2. 782.1934.
- [21]D. A. Kleinman, Phys. Rev. 126, 1977 (1962).
- [22]R.G. Pearson, Absolute electronegativity and hardness: applications to organic chemistry, J. Org. Chem. 54.1423. 1989.
- [23] [51] R.G. Pearson, Chemical Hardness-Applications from Molecules to Solids, John Wiley–VCH, Weinheim, 1997.
- [24] N.E.H. Belkafouf, F. Triki-Baara, A. Altomare, R. Rizzi, A. Chouaih, A. Djafri, F. Hamzaoui, Synthesis, PXRD structural determination, Hirshfeld surface analysis and DFT/TD-DFT investigation of 3N-ethyl-

2N'-(2-ethylphenylimino) thiazolidin- 4-one, J. Mol. Struct. 1189 . 8–20. 2019..