

***Specificity of the use Metaphor by old kabylians
speakers (70 – 90 years old) in their everyday
social interactions***

HAMANE Soraya
University of Oran

Abstract

Because of the significant role of metaphor in our lives and in our culture , we almost all bring different interests to it ,this is the reason why I tend and take the opportunity to check whether metaphors are much more powerful instruments rather than being an ordinary ornament, i.e., metaphors are not just an empty play with words or even a free play for ideas, but they need to be in harmony with the social and historical setting with the beliefs and personal constructs of the society or micro society of the time.

In this paper, I tend to demonstrate that metaphors not only make the old kabylians thoughts vivid and interesting, but they actually structure their perceptions and understanding. Metaphor is pervasive in their everyday life, i.e., metaphors play a central role in defining the old kabylians everyday realities.

The aim is to show clearly that my category of informants (70 – 90 years old) are not using metaphors just for shaping their views in life in present, but metaphors are setting up expectations for the future, i.e., metaphors are rooted in the beliefs, practices and intentions of language.

1. Introduction

We all speak and write with minds different. We most of the time do not make the same mental connections. We do not see the world exactly the same. We are daily flooded with thousands of ideas and statements demanding assent,

yet which we know or believe to be false, confusing or deceptive.

One way to feel ready, comfortable and relaxed in both speaking and writing is the use of metaphor. For instance, when someone feels unable to reach one's idea or thought, i.e., when someone lacks lexis (a gap in finding one's word vocabulary), he or she resorts consciously or unconsciously to metaphor. In other words, we most of the time come across difficulties in expressing our daily experiences, feelings and emotions (such as deceptions, happiness, sadness, anger and melancholy, etc.), therefore, the only way to get out of this "word deficiency" is resorting to metaphors, so that to fill up or plug that lexical field.

2. Definition

Metaphor is defined as the substitution of one object with another, used to assist expression or understanding. It is an implied comparison between two unlike things that actually have something important in common. The metaphor, according to I.A Richards in *The Philosophy of Rhetoric* (1936), consists of two parts: tenor and vehicle.

- The Tenor / Topic

It refers to the subject to which attributes are ascribed.

- The vehicle

It is the subject from which the attributes are borrowed.

Other writers prefer using the general terms ground and figure to denote what Richards identifies as the tenor and vehicle. Thus metaphor expresses the unfamiliar (the tenor) in terms of the familiar (the vehicle).

Consider the following utterances in Kabyle with their equivalent in English:

1. [ʌduni:θ ðjəpwæs] → lit-trans (life is one day) - which corresponds in English to (life is a journey).
2. [ʌduniθeki ðəlæv] → lit-trans (life is a game).
3. [ʌwæli:s ðədwæ] → lit-trans (his word is a remedy).

Utterances in Kabyle. 'thought - provoking' (metaphor)	Tenor / Topic	Vehicle	Ground
S1	[duni:θ]	[ðjəpwæs]	'Short duration'
S2	[duni:θ]	[θətslæv]	Success and failure
S3	[ʌwæli:s]	[ðədwæ]	'Relief' – 'a recovering' – 'a sensation of well being' and 'bringing comfort'.

If we use the same key words as mentioned in the comparison viewpoint, we may draw the following conclusion: subject (topic / tenor) + predicate (the part that describes the tenor) which is called (the vehicle) = the 'common ground', (i.e., the similarities between the T+V) which we may call 'the absent or hidden tension' (Prandi, 1999).

3. The motivation for metaphor use

In teaching, as well as in studying, metaphor remains a major tool we resort to. Because metaphor is basic to language and thinking, any well educated or non educated person should have some understanding of its processes. However, we ‘the speakers’ in our everyday social interactions need essentially to be in a constant touch with such a language tool.

The metaphor use does not reflect a minority interest only, but its working is relevant to all the students in all disciplines, that is to say in Literature, Economy, Medicine, etc.

Andrew Goatly (1997) writes in this context:

“If, as I believe, metaphor and the mental processes it entails, are basic to language and cognition, then a clearer understanding of its working is relevant, not just to literature students, but to any students”.

(Goatly, 1997: 1)

Metaphor is always said to be part and parcel of our culture. Men and women, young and old, all extract, wrap and mainly reinforce their thoughts, ideas and writings via the key metaphor. Metaphor espouses our everyday speech the whole day long.

Consider the following utterances in Kabyle:

1. [θəpwΛ θəxæmθ] } (It is as hot as a hell)/
272

(It's hot as the fingers of hell)

2. [læθətsæwəl θəxæmθ]

This is to show that metaphor is felt to add forcefulness, and evidently the forcefulness has some relation to sharpness of detail and concrete of expression.

We are attracted to metaphor in the first place because ordinary language seems worn and abstract.

Metaphor tends also to accompany the expression of emotions and attitudes. For example, in case we reach a certain degree of happiness, we try to express our feelings through different words that fit them, more or less adequately, or with more accuracy depending on shades of meaning.

Saying 'I'm happy' may appear to us quite different from saying 'I'm glad' or 'I feel jolly', or 'I'm cheerful'. In other words, sometimes we feel like 'a gap' / 'a lack', or even 'a failure' in finding out appropriate adjectives which first define and specify, then right after qualify any special feeling or desire.

Here are some examples concerning the degree of feeling of happiness:

1. [lΛjətsfərfi:r silfərħ]
 2. [lΛjətsæfəg silfərħ]
- } which corresponds in English to:
- 'He's flyin up'
- 'He feels like a million'
- 'He's walking on the air'
3. [tiKli ΛtətΛrdəq silfərħ] lit-trans : (she is going to burst with happiness)
 4. [tiKli ΛðidΛgər iʃətidni:s] lit-trans : (He is at the point of throwing his clothes)

We are all attracted by the use of metaphor may be for one common reason that it provides us with more vigilance, more defense and more protection. Metaphor becomes then the best mediator between the speakers, so as to reach strength and subjectivity.

Because metaphor is supposed to be one way for exteriorizing our grief, and even a way to escape from the bitter reality, we try or prefer to turn to it to reconstitute things and finally reach our satisfaction, aim the target and plug the gap.

Here are some examples:

1. [θəzzuzu:n Δduni:θ] lit-trans : (Life rocks people) implies → ‘Life distracts people’
2. [jΔtʃɔ:r uli:s] lit-trans : (His/Her heart is full) implies → ‘she/he is troubled’ – ‘having problems all around’.
3. [zu:r uli:m] lit-trans : (Your heart is thick) implies → ‘a careless/mindless person’
4. [ðΔjen jæfəs fuli:w] lit-trans : (It’s finished he crushed my heart) implies → ‘I hate him’ – ‘no more beautiful feelings’
5. [Δweli:s tərʃa:ʃθ] lit-trans : (His / Her word is a bullet) implies □ ‘he’s a wise man’ – ‘what he says is worthy’ depending on the context.
6. [jə_K]əm uli:w] lit-trans : (He entered / got in my heart) implies □ ‘he pleases me’ – ‘I like him’

This is to show that we often resort to metaphor for one simple reason, that we do not have any other choice. Metaphors are in fact commonplace in ordinary speech and writing and like any other language, the Kabyle is

stuffed with thousands of metaphors and most of them are so familiar that we no longer regard them as metaphorical in nature. Indeed, linguists have realized for some generations now that metaphors are a commonplace way of extending the expressive resources of a language. Consider the following instances in Kabyle concerning ‘the notion of time’:

- 1- [idɔ:l lwəqθ] lit-trans : (Time is long)
- 2- [jædæ lwəqθ] lit-trans : (Time passed), or (Time is over)
- 3- [jufəg lwəqθ] lit-trans : (Time flew)
- 4- [juK^uræy lwəqθ] lit-trans : (Time has stolen us) - (note that the same expression in French is used: ‘le temps nous accapare’).

We notice in these examples that the description of ‘time’ recommends and needs the use of some spatial or motion words. The words used in utterances above like [idɔ:l], [jædæ] and [jufəg] are words belonging to space, which are metaphorically used to map an abstract area of experience which is ‘time’.

As a literary device, metaphor can construct a new word (Levin, 1979). The practical function of metaphor is to give concrete illustrations of objects (Brooks and Warren, 1961).

We may draw the following conclusion, that one major motivation among others behind the use of metaphor is the filling or the plugging of the infinite lexical gaps. In this context P. Ricoeur terms metaphors that help bridge those gaps as ‘forced metaphor’. When ideas seem not direct, or when they are transmitted wrong through certain codes,

concepts and signs, ‘forced metaphor’ come to emerge on the surface, i.e., they intervene systematically and naturally. We say for instance in Kabyle: [vəri:K wuli:s] lit-trans (his/her heart is black), [qəSsi:ħ uli:s] lit-trans (his/her heart is hard), meaning that the person is spiteful, mischievous, wicked and unforgiving depending on the context.

As a conclusion, we may draw a conjuncture between the following two concepts:

The wickedness and the unforgiveness recognized as two ‘conduits metaphor’ in association with the black colour, which then becomes a proper sign of wickedness and the unforgiveness.

4. Problems raised in metaphor use

The crucial problem is how a metaphor differs from any other literary expression (Rumelhalt, 1979). There is a particular tension between the subject and the modifier in the metaphorical expression, this tension is absent in fact, from the literary expression (Prandi, 1999). This conflict, thus, leads both the reader and the listener to react, then it gives birth to an interpretation (Prandi, 1999).

Consider the following examples:

- 1- [vəri:K wuli:s] lit. (his/her heart is black) implies he/she is spiteful, nasty, always with a nasty temper

a tension carried \longrightarrow (vindictive person).

2- [məlu:l wuli:s] lit. (his/her heart is white) implies

he/she is kind / a forgiving person

a tension carried \longrightarrow (goodness).

These examples reinforce the idea of tension between the subject and the modifier in Kabyle when using metaphor. This is to show clearly that this particular ‘tension’ is quite absent from the literal expression or let’s say from the surface meaning.

Both examples [vəri:K] and [məlu:l] in Kabyl are two contrasted colours, ‘White’ and ‘Black’.

[məlu:l] (the white colour) most of the time symbolizes goodness, purity, whereas, [vəri:K] (the black colour) always reflect a negative aspect, thus, it symbolizes ‘vindictiveness’ and ‘evil’.

The two utterances may be represented as follows:

[vəri:K wuli:s] \leftarrow symbolyses ‘Evil’
[məlu:l wuli:s] \rightarrow symbolyses ‘Goodness’

Frequently used, metaphors can become dead. Consequently, they lose their figurative strength and imaginative force. They may even lose their potential ability to surprise through repetition (Cruse, 1956). Hence there would be no need to use any strategy to interpret metaphor. There are as many instances of dead metaphors in English as in Kabyle.

Dead metaphors in English:

- ‘The head of the department’

- ‘The head of the queue’
- ‘Le leg of the table’
- ‘The shoulder of the mountain
- ‘The hands of a watch’
- ‘The heart of the matter’
- ‘The eye of the hurricane’

Dead metaphors in Kabyle:

- [Λqəɾɔ: pəðræɾ] lit-trans : (the head of the mountain)
refers to ‘the summit’.
- [Λqəɾɔ: pəxɑ:m] lit-trans : (the head of the home)
refers to ‘the chief/ the responsible’.
- [θi:t nətsəgni:θ] lit-trans : (the eye of the needle).
- [imi lʌjənʂər] lit-trans : (the mouth of the spring).
- [Λqəzi:r nətævlæ] lit-trans : (the leg of the
table).

5. Theories of Metaphor

5.1 Theory of Comparison

It goes back to Aristotle’s Rhetoric in which metaphors are best viewed as elliptical versions of similes or comparison with the terms ‘like’ and ‘as’ omitted (Hawkes, 1972). This doesn’t mean that a simile makes the same kind of apparent assertion or effect as its equivalent metaphor on the one hand, but simply that interpretatively the simile

and metaphor will be equivalent on the other hand (Goatly, 1997).

For example the sentence ‘Man is a wolf’ means / is the collapsed form of the sentence ‘Man is like a wolf’, and the utterance, ‘you are my sunshine’, means ‘you are like sunshine to me’.

Consider the following utterances in Kabyle:

Similes	Elliptical similes: without the use of simile markers (like + as) (metaphors)
1- [θgæ Λməθsəku:rθ] lit. (she is like a partridge)	[təsəku:rθ] lit. (she is a partridge) implies - ‘beautiful’.
2- [θgæ Λməθziri:] lit. (she is like a moon)	[tiziri:] lit. (she is a moon) – (the personal pronoun is hidden / implicit in Kabyle) implies - ‘extremely beautiful’.

We may draw then, the following conclusion:

Metaphor = Simile [-] minus ‘simile markers’ (like and as) => a comparison by analogy.

5.2 The Substitution Theory of Metaphor

This theory is most of the time called ‘Theory Change’. This theory states that the metaphorical meaning can substitute the literal one (Kleiber, 1999). In other words, every metaphorical statement is equivalent to a literal statement. Advocates of this view claim that the V-term (vehicle) is substituting for a literal term, that the meaning

of the metaphor can be discovered by replacing the literal term, and that metaphor was, therefore, a sort of decorative device (Black, 1979).

Consider the following:

Tenor / topic (subject)	The literal term (the denotative meaning)	V-term – (metaphorical expression) – (figurative)
1- Hakim	[lwa:ræ] ‘fearful and aggressive’.	[ðæqzu:n] (a dog) – [ð] stands for the article.
2- [jəli:s] (his daughter)	[lwa:ræ] ‘being remarkable for some bad or evil quality’.	[ðtərjəl] (monster) ‘ogresse’.
3- Y	[ihəmməl kæn] ‘violent and careless’.	[ðæzɡər] (a bull).

5.3 The Interaction Theory of Metaphor

As opposed to the substitution theory of metaphor, which centralises in particular the V-term (metaphor), the interaction theory states that a metaphor is not an isolated term (a word), but a sentence. Black (1979) is considered as one of the most known proponents, of this theory. He regards metaphor as a ‘filter’ which can organize the way of viewing the subject. The metaphorical expression has in fact two different subjects: the primary subject and the secondary one (Black, 1979). According to Black’s

interaction theory, a metaphor works or functions by projecting upon the primary subject a number of implications comprised in the “implicative complex”, they are predicable of the secondary subject (Black, 1979: 28). To clarify Black’s idea, let us give the following example: -‘This man is a gorilla’

The secondary subject ‘gorilla’ transforms certain aspects to the primary subject ‘this man’; thus, the resulting tension / meaning can be ‘this man is ‘stout’ / ‘determined’ / ‘brave and giant’.

Consider now the following instances in Kabyle:

- 1- [θæsusmi ðædhəv] lit-trans: (silence is gold) → (le silence est d’or)
- 2- [Λwæλ tærʂa:ʂθ] lit-trans: (a word is a bullet)

	The primary subject	The secondary subject / (the subsidiary subject) / (metaphor)	The resulting meaning / (the tension) / “the parallelism drawn between the subject + the subsidiary”.
S1	[θæsusmi]	[ðædhəv]	[θæsusmi θəl hæ / ðætsqəl / [ðəl kənz urnətsfuku:] (silence is a / like treasure).
S2	[Λwæλ]	[tærʂa:ʂθ]	[Λwæλ ðædididi:] / [tijiθæ θæmpqra:nt] (a word is like a serious injury).

Conclusion

Metaphors not only make our thoughts vivid and more interesting but they actually structure our perceptions and understanding, and govern our everyday functioning. We have to be aware of this linguistic phenomenon which is related in fact to linguistic evidence reflected in our everyday social interactions by a wide variety of expressions. Metaphor is not restricted to literary purpose, but it is in all uses of language and structures. Using or resorting to metaphor has proven to be a useful tool. Metaphors help us determine reality of our experiences in life. In this context Lakoff and Turner (1989) asserted that:

“far from being merely a matter of words, metaphor is a matter of thought and all kinds of thought: thought about emotion, about society, about human character, about language, and about the nature of life and death. It is indispensable not only to our imagination but also to our reason.”

(Lakoff and Turner, 1989: 227)

References

- Black, M., 1979, “More about Metaphor”, in Ortony, A., (ed.), 1979, *Metaphor and Thought*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Brooks, C. and Warren, R. P., 1961, *Modern Rhetoric*, U.S.A: Harcourt, Brace and World, Inc.
- Cruse, D., 1986, *Lexical Semantics*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Goatly, A., 1997, *The Language of Metaphors*, London: Routledge.

- Hawks, T., 1972, *Metaphor*, London: Methuen and Co Ltd.
- Kleiber, G., 1999, “De La Sémantique de la Métaphore à la Pragmatique de la Métaphore”, en Charbonnel, N. et Kleiber, G., (eds.), 1999, *La Métaphore entre Philosophie et Rhétorique*, Paris: Presses Universitaire de France.
- Prandi, M., 1999, “Grammaire Philosophique de la Métaphore”, en Charbonnel, N. et Kleiber, G., (eds.), 1999, *La Métaphore entre Philosophie et Rhétorique*, Paris: Presses Universitaire de France.
- Richards, I.A., 1936, *The Philosophy of Rhetoric*, London: Oxford University Press.
- Ricoeur, P., 1978, *The Rule of Metaphor*, London: Routledge and Kegan Paul.
- Rumelhart, D. E., 1979, “Some Problems with the Notion of Literal Meanings”, in Ortony, A., (ed.), 1979, *Metaphor and Thought*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.