AI: Bridging Societies for a Brighter Future

الذكاء الاصطناعي: مد الجسور بين المجتمعات من أجل مستقبل أكثر إشراقًا

Aissa BENAIRED[1]
Sedik BENBALI[2]
Mohamed ZIAN[3]

1 Hassiba Benbouali University of CHLEF, Algeria a.benaire@univ-chlef.dz
2 Hassiba Benbouali University of CHLEF, Algeria sedikbenbali@gmail.com
3 Hassiba Benbouali University of CHLEF, Algeria m.zian@univ-chlef.dz

Received 29/01/2024 Accepted 14/03//2024 Published. 31/03/2024

Abstract:

The objective of this research is to explore the transformative capabilities of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in promoting social integration and reducing social disparities. The study aims to identify a deficiency in comprehensive investigations linking AI to global social integration. The findings highlight the potential of AI in mitigating the digital divide and fostering social inclusion, but also highlight challenges like scalability and ethical implementation. The study provides strategic recommendations for future research, policy development, and sustainable AI integration.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence (AI), Integration, Prediction, Society

ملخص البحث :

الهدف من هذا البحث هو استكشاف القدرات التحويلية للذكاء الاصطناعي في تعزيز الاندماج الاجتماعي والحد من الفوارق الاجتماعية. وتهدف الدراسة إلى تحديد النقص في التحقيقات الشاملة التي تربط بين الذكاء الاصطناعي والاندماج الاجتماعي العالمي. وتسلط النتائج الضوء على إمكانات الذكاء الاصطناعي في التخفيف من الفجوة الرقمية وتعزيز الاندماج الاجتماعي، ولكنها تسلط الضوء أيضًا على تحديات مثل قابلية التوسع والتطبيق الأخلاقي. تقدم الدراسة توصيات استراتيجية للبحث المستقبلي وتطوير السياسات والتكامل المستدام للذكاء الاصطناعي.

الكلمات المفتاحية: الذكاء الاصطناعي ، المجتمع، الاندماج، التنبؤ

[1] Aissa BENAIRED

1.Introduction:

With its ability to provide exceptional opportunities to rectify inequalities in societies, Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a crucial medium for social transformation (Tegmark M. , 2017, p. 39). AI is a crucial asset for enhancing social infrastructure and promoting inclusive growth due to its capacities that rise above technological achievements (Schwab, 2017). In addition to analysing AI's potential to reconcile social gaps, this overview provides a critical analysis of the technology's function as a tool for advancing social impartiality.

From facilitating personalised learning experiences in education to enhancing healthcare accessibility via advanced diagnostics (Topol, 2019), the vast impact of AI is apparent in its implementation in a variety of fields. Constantly discussed in academic circles, the potential of AI to empower marginalised communities by democratising access to services and information has been a subject of promise (Benjamin, 2019). Concerns regarding algorithmic bias and ethical implications persistently emerge, however, describing the implementation of AI not devoid of obstacles (O'Neil, 2016, p. 204).

In the midst of the swift assimilation of artificial intelligence (AI) across diverse industries, the socio-technical complexities it introduces demand dependable and ethical supervision (Bostrom, N., & Yudkowsky, E, 2014). (Eubanks, 2018) emphasises the critical nature of inclusive design and governance frameworks in light of the possibility that AI could unintentionally worsen pre-existing inequalities. This narrative review supports the notion that AI's social implications should be comprehended in a more nuanced manner, placing particular emphasis on the dual imperatives of leveraging its transformative abilities while cautiously mitigating its risks.

The primary objective of this analysis is to shed light on the complex characteristics of artificial intelligence (AI), which serves as a medium for advancements in society while also requiring thorough deliberation (Cath, et al., 2018). The article assesses the impact of artificial intelligence (AI) on social equity in this particular context, providing valuable perspectives on the approaches that may pilot AI's favourable development within the community. To reach this aim, the researcher addresses the following questions:

1. How can AI be utilised to address the gaps that exist within communities?
2. How does AI contribute to social integration?
3. What are the obstacles of AI implementation?
4. What is the impact on reducing social gaps?

2. The review of literature

The integration of AI into social frameworks has prompted extensive scholarly dialogue about its potential to drive social progress. (Tegmark, 2017) envisions a future where AI's evolution will significantly affect our definitions of life and society in "Life 3.0: Being Human in the Age of Artificial Intelligence". This philosophical inquiry complements the practical and ethical considerations explored by (Russell, 2019) in "Human Compatible: Artificial

Intelligence and the Problem of Control", which discusses the importance of aligning AI development with human values. Meanwhile, (O'Neil, 2016) offers a critical examination of how AI and big data can perpetuate social inequalities in "Weapons of Math Destruction: How Big Data Increases Inequality and Threatens Democracy". These perspectives are further enriched by (Zuboff, 2019) in "The Age of Surveillance Capitalism: The Fight for a Human Future at the New Frontier of Power", which scrutinizes the economic and social implications of AI-driven surveillance. Together, these works underscore the multifaceted impact of AI on society, highlighting the technological, ethical, and socio-economic dimensions that must be navigated to harness AI's potential for fostering a more inclusive and equitable future.

3. Research Methodology

The rationale for employing a qualitative research design is to investigate the complex ways in which artificial intelligence (AI) technologies are interconnected with the fabric of society, economy, and culture. This approach facilitates a comprehensive comprehension of the capabilities and constraints of AI as they pertain to the advancement and unity of society.

A thorough examination of extant scholarly literature, reports from think tanks and non-governmental organisations, government policy documents, and media articles pertaining to AI initiatives will provide the data. To guarantee that the findings are pertinent and current, the literature review will concentrate on publications that have occurred within the past decade.

The data will be subjected to analysis using a thematic content analysis methodology. This requires a meticulous analysis of texts in order to discern recurring themes, patterns, and narratives that illuminate the impact of artificial intelligence on social integration. Through the examination, the underlying mechanisms through which AI applications have endeavored to mitigate social gaps and their consequences will be revealed.

4. Overview of Artificial Intelligence: A Lever for Social Transformation and Equity

4.1. Role of AI in Social Integration

Growingly, it is acknowledged that Artificial Intelligence (AI) has the capacity to reconcile gaps between diverse communities and is a potent enabler of social integration (Floridi, 2019). AI is able to analyse enormous volumes of data in order to detect social patterns and obstacles that impede integration, providing policymakers and social programmes with actionable insights (Wachter, et al., 2017). This is made possible by its sophisticated computational capabilities.

The development of AI-powered platforms that enable cooperation and comprehension among individuals of various linguistic origins has been significantly aided by the elimination of language barriers to communication (Hovy, & Spruit, 2016). Moreover, by connecting

individuals on the basis of shared values and interests, AI-powered social media analytics are instrumental in recognising social dynamics and promoting community development (Latonero, & Kift,, 2018).

Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that the implementation of AI in public services can effectively foster inclusivity. (Tachet, et al, 2017, p. 2) argue that the implementation of AI in the transport sector can improve accessibility to urban amenities and streamline routes and services for marginalised communities. Assuring that individuals with special needs have access to the resources essential for full social participation, AI can assist in the personalisation of support in the context of social care (Coeckelbergh, 2020).

It is crucial to confront the ethical and governance obstacles that arise during the implementation of AI in order to optimise its advantages for social integration. To avoid further widening of social divisions, concerns regarding privacy, bias, and transparency must be diligently addressed (Mittelstadt, 2017). The importance of establishing lucid ethical principles and strong regulatory frameworks grows in tandem with the prevalence of AI systems that facilitate social integration (Mittelstadt, et al, 2018, pp. 5-7).

Significant potential exists for this technology to serve as a medium for social cohesion and integration (Chui, et al., 2016) if its social repercussions are meticulously considered prior to employing the power of AI.

2.2. Predictions on AI's Evolving Role

Advancements in machine learning algorithms, the development of more sophisticated models capable of performing complex tasks, and the expansion of AI applications are all aspects of its evolving role that focus on augmenting its fundamental capabilities (Bostrom &. Y., 2014). According to (Russell &. N., 2016) the development trajectory of AI indicates a capacity to not only process enormous quantities of data but also derive knowledge from it in order to generate decisions that are progressively more complex.

Future role projections for AI frequently highlight the progression of autonomous systems. The ongoing incorporation of AI into robotics is anticipated to facilitate the optimisation and independence of machine task execution (Guizzo, 2012). (Manyika, et al., 2017) describe the progression of artificial intelligence in manufacturing settings, where robots are capable of gaining knowledge from their mistakes, adjusting to novel responsibilities, and collaborating with humans.

Natural language processing (NLP) represents an additional crucial domain of advancement. It is expected that human-machine interactions will become more organic as AI systems improve their capacity to comprehend and produce human language. Customer service, content generation, and real-time translation services may incorporate AI to a greater extent as this field develops (Hirschberg, & Manning,, 2015).

Furthermore, it is highly probable that AI will greatly contribute to the progression of the Internet of Things (IoT). AI can utilise the collected data to optimise energy consumption, enhance predictive maintenance, and improve user experiences, as the number of connected devices continues to increase. (Zheng, et al., 2017, pp. 46-48) suggest that the capacity of AI to rapidly analyse sensor data from a network of interconnected devices may result in the development of intelligent cities and residences.

Advanced image and pattern recognition capabilities are anticipated to result from the evolving function of AI in the field of machine vision. This has the potential to facilitate the development of user interfaces that are responsive to visual or haptic signals or gestures (Voulodimos, A., et al., 2018, pp. 2-4) . Its applications include enhancing security systems.

The expanding functionalities of AI give rise to significant ethical concerns, notwithstanding these progressions. Concerns regarding the transparency and accountability of AI systems capable of autonomous decision-making must be addressed in light of their development (Crawford, & Calo,, 2016). Additionally, with the increasing proficiency of AI in surpassing human capabilities across a range of tasks, there will be significant social and labor-related ramifications that must be meticulously controlled (Susskind, & Susskind,, 2015, p. 130)

4.3. Obstacles to the Implementation of AI

Although the application of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in diverse industries has been greeted with considerable eagerness, it also faces several obstacles. (Cath, et al., 2018) identify a variety of obstacles, including technical and infrastructural constraints, ethical considerations, and social issues.

Technical obstacles represent one of the most pressing challenges that arise. The establishment of AI systems capable of delivering dependable performance in intricate, real-life settings necessitates considerable computational resources and sophisticated algorithms (Jordan, & Mitchell, 2015). Furthermore, the matter of data availability and quality assumes utmost significance, given that AI systems significantly depend on extensive datasets for learning and prediction purposes. Data that is incomplete, biased, or unrepresentative can frequently result in AI outputs that are erroneous or unjust (Barocas, & Selbst, 2016).

An additional noteworthy obstacle pertains to the absence of transparency and interpretability in AI systems, commonly known as the “black box” dilemma. A challenge for users in placing trust in and validating the outcomes is that numerous AI models, deep learning networks in particular, fail to offer transparent justifications for their decisions (Castelvecchi, 2016) . This is particularly problematic in criminal justice and healthcare, where comprehension of the decision-making process is crucial.

The Incorporation of AI systems into pre-existing technological frameworks may present difficulties on the infrastructure front. Inadequate hardware, legacy systems, and incompatible

software can all impede AI implementation. Furthermore, access to AI technology is unequally distributed across regions and socioeconomic classes due to the digital divide, which can exacerbate pre-existing disparities (Raso, et al., 2018).

Regulatory and legal obstacles are also substantial factors. As the regulatory landscape surrounding AI remains nascent, laws pertaining to AI may be antiquated or inadequately adapted to address the distinctive complexities presented by AI technologies. Legislation that is current and takes into account intellectual property rights, liability concerns, and international standards pertaining to the application of AI is imperative (Cath, et al., 2018).

Ethical considerations pose inherent obstacles to the implementation of AI. Privacy, autonomy, and the possibility that AI will exacerbate or perpetuate biases are central issues in the discourse surrounding the deployment of AI. It is imperative to guarantee that AI systems adhere to ethical principles and social values in order to achieve widespread adoption and acceptance (Mittelstadt, et al., 2016).

Lastly, the matter of the personnel must be considered. As a result of the automation of particular duties, the implementation of AI may cause labour market disruptions. Authorities and organisations encounter a substantial obstacle in the form of the workforce's requirement for retraining and upskilling in order to adapt to an economy propelled by artificial intelligence (Susskind, & Susskind, 2015, p. 135)

4.4. Examples of AI Concealing Social Divides

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has become an ever more significant factor in bridging social divides through the provision of scalable resolutions to longstanding social problems. AI makes a substantial contribution to the field of education through the development of adaptive learning technologies that accommodate the unique requirements of each learner, thereby enabling universal access to optimization education. The adaptability of AI in education to accommodate the varying learning paces of students with disabilities, thereby ensuring equitable learning opportunities, was optimized by (Woolf, et al., 2013, pp. 79-81) AI has played a pivotal role in mitigating disparities within the healthcare industry through the facilitation of remote diagnostics and treatment recommendations.

This has proven to be especially advantageous for underserved populations residing in rural regions (Jiang, et al, 2017). Furthermore, the application of AI-powered data analysis tools has been employed to detect and rectify biases in recruitment procedures, thereby fostering a workforce that is more inclusive and diverse (Kim, 2016). By identifying unconscious biases, these tools analyse recruitment patterns and can assist businesses in developing more equitable employment optimization. Government agencies have implemented AI applications in predictive analytics to enhance resource allocation to vulnerable communities within the domain of social services. This has resulted in the optimization of intervention strategies. In

general, the implementation of AI technologies in these domains signifies a substantial advancement in the effort to diminish social disparities and foster inclusiveness.

4.5. Enhancement of Digital Accessibility via AI

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has significantly contributed to the improvement of digital accessibility by introducing solutions and tools that facilitate the navigation of the digital environment for individuals with disabilities. (Lancioni, et al., 2019) The introduction of assistive technologies fuelled by artificial intelligence, such as screen readers that employ natural language processing, facilitates the accessibility of digital content for individuals with visual impairments.

Speech synthesis and recognition technologies have additionally facilitated the interaction of digital devices with voice commands for users with motor or speech impairments (Baljko, & Tam,, 2016). In addition, the advancement of AI has enabled the creation of sign language interpretation and real-time captioning services, which have improved the accessibility of auditory material for individuals who are deaf or hard of hearing (Kushalnagar, 2018). (Gupta, 2020) report that machine learning algorithms have been implemented to personalise user experiences, allowing digital platforms to accommodate the specific accessibility requirements of each user. These developments highlight the capacity of artificial intelligence to foster a more inclusive digital environment, a critical attribute in a global context where digital accessibility is progressively linked to civic engagement.

5 Conclusion:

This study methodically investigates the potential of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in accelerating social integration and narrowing the social gaps, reflecting upon its transformative influence in fostering an equitable future. Through a qualitative assessment, the research reveals how AI applications span across various sectors, providing opportunities for empowering marginalised communities and democratising access to essential services.

The insights gleaned underscore the intricate interplay between AI and society, where AI emerges as a pivotal tool in identifying and dismantling barriers to social unity. From breaking down linguistic obstacles to customising public services for enhanced accessibility, AI's role in promoting inclusivity is pronounced. Moreover, the analysis acknowledges the formidable challenges in AI deployment: the opacity of algorithmic decision-making, the need for equitable data representation, the imperative of infrastructure compatibility, and the complexities of ethical governance.

The evolving capabilities of AI, with advancements in areas like machine learning, natural language processing, and interconnected devices, hint at a future where AI could profoundly reshape social structures. Yet, this study cautions against uncontrolled optimism, advocating for a tempered approach where the ethical implications and potential disruptions are thoughtfully managed. A consistent theme throughout the call for transparent and accountable AI development, ensuring that as AI systems grow more autonomous, they remain aligned with human values and social norms.

The conclusion of this article, therefore, not only synthesises the significant potential of AI but also reinforces the critical need for a multidisciplinary effort in shaping AI's trajectory. It urges for continued empirical research, adaptive policy-making, and proactive ethical frameworks, emphasising that the true measure of AI's success lies in its ability to enhance social equity without compromising ethical standards.

6 List of references:

Baljko, M., & Tam, A. (2016). Speech technology for individuals with speech disabilities: research challenges and opportunities. *Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology*, *11*(4), 333-339.

Barocas, S., & Selbst, A. D. (2016). Big data's disparate impact. California Law Review, 104, 671.

Benjamin, R. (2019). *Race after technology: Abolitionist tools for the new Jim code*. Polity.

Bostrom, N., & Yudkowsky, E. (2014). The ethics of artificial intelligence. In K. Frankish & W. M. Ramsey (Eds.), *The Cambridge Handbook of Artificial Intelligence* (pp. 316-334). Cambridge University Press.

Castelvecchi, D. (2016). Can we open the black box of AI?. Nature News, 538(7623), 20.

Cath, C., Wachter, S., Mittelstadt, B. *et al.* Artificial Intelligence and the 'Good Society': the US, EU, and UK approach. *Sci Eng Ethics* **24**, 505–528 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11948-017-9901-7

Chui, M., Manyika, J., & Miremadi, M. (2016). Where machines could replace humans—and where they can't (yet). *McKinsey Quarterly*.

Chui, M., Manyika, J., & Miremadi, M. (2018). Where machines could replace humans—and where they can't (yet). *McKinsey Quarterly*, *2016* (July), 58-69. Coeckelbergh, M. (2020). AI ethics. *MIT Press*.

Crawford, K., & Calo, R. (2016). There is a blind spot in AI research. Nature, 538(7625), 311-313.

Davoyan, A. (2021). *The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Work, Education, Mobility and Economy*. In: Arai, K., Kapoor, S., Bhatia, R. (eds) Proceedings of the Future Technologies Conference (FTC) 2020, Volume 1. FTC 2020. Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing, vol 1288. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-63128-4_22

Eubanks, V. (2018). *Automating inequality: How high-tech tools profile, police, and punish the poor*. St. Martin's Press.

Floridi, L. (2019). Establishing the rules for building trustworthy AI. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, *1*(6), 261-262.

Guizzo, E., & Ackerman, E. (2012). The rise of the robots. IEEE Spectrum, 49(5), 34-41.

Gupta, S., Gaudiot, J.-L., & Erlikhman, G. (2020). The Role of Machine Learning in Accessibility. *ACM Transactions on Accessible Computing (TACCESS)*, *13*(1), 5.

Hirschberg, J., & Manning, C. D. (2015). Advances in natural language processing. Science, 349(6245), 261-266.

Hovy, D., & Spruit, S. L. (2016). The social impact of natural language processing. In *Proceedings of the 54th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL)* (pp. 591-598).

Jiang, F., Jiang, et al (2017). Artificial intelligence in healthcare: past, present and future. *Stroke and Vascular Neurology*, *2*(4), 230-243.

Jordan, M. I., & Mitchell, T. M. (2015). Machine learning: Trends, perspectives, and prospects. Science, 349(6245), 255-260.

Kim, P. H. (2016). The Impact of AI on Employment: Towards a Jobless Future or a More Inclusive Society? *International Labour Review*, *155*(2), 163-176.

Kushalnagar, R. S., et al (2018). Making online learning more accessible for deaf students with mobile captioning services. *Journal of Deaf Studies and Deaf Education, 23*(1), 62-71.

Lancioni, G. E., et al (2019). Persons with multiple disabilities use assistive technology to access stimulating events and call their caregiver. *Developmental Neurorehabilitation, 22*(2), 85-92.

Latonero, M., & Kift, P. (2018). On digital passages and borders: Refugees and the new infrastructure for movement and control. *Social Media + Society, 4*(1), 2056305118764432.

Luckin, R. (2018). *Machine learning and human intelligence: The future of education for the 21st century*. UCL Press.

Manyika, J., Chui, et al (2017). A future that works: Automation, employment, and productivity. McKinsey Global Institute.

Mittelstadt, B. Ethics of the health-related internet of things: a narrative review. *Ethics Inf Technol* **19**, 157–175 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10676-017-9426-4

Mittelstadt, B., et al (2016). The ethics of algorithms: Mapping the debate. Big Data & Society, 3(2), 2053951716679679.

Naik, N., Hameed, et al (2022). Legal and Ethical Consideration in Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare: Who Takes Responsibility? *Frontiers in surgery*, *9*, 862322. https://doi.org/10.3389/fsurg.2022.862322

O'Neil, C. (2016). *Weapons of math destruction: How big data increases inequality and threatens democracy*. Crown.

Osman, M.N., et al (2020). *Hearing Assistive Technology: Sign Language Translation Application for Hearing-Impaired Communication*. In: Alias, N., Yusof, R. (eds) Charting the Sustainable Future of ASEAN in Science and Technology. Springer, Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-3434-

Raso, F. A., et al (2018). Artificial intelligence & human rights: Opportunities & risks. Berkman Klein Center Research Publication, 2018-6.

Russell, S., & Norvig, P. (2016). Artificial intelligence: A modern approach. Malaysia; Pearson Education Limited.

Russell, S., "Human Compatible: Artificial Intelligence and the Problem of Control". Viking, 2019.

Schwab, K. (2017). *The fourth industrial revolution*. Crown Publishing Group.

Susskind, R., & Susskind, D. (2015). The future of the professions: How technology will transform the work of human experts. Oxford University Press.

Tachet, R., et al (2017). Scaling law of urban ride sharing. *Scientific Reports, 7*, 42868.

Tegmark, M. (2017). *Life 3.0: Being human in the age of artificial intelligence*. Knopf.

Topol, E. J. (2019). *Deep medicine: How artificial intelligence can make healthcare human again*. Basic Books.

Voulodimos, A., et al (2018). Deep learning for computer vision: A brief review. Computational intelligence and neuroscience, 2018.

Wachter, S., et al (2017). Transparent, explainable, and accountable AI for robotics. *Science Robotics, 2*(6), eaan6080.

Woolf, B. P., et al (2013). AI Grand Challenges for Education. *AI Magazine*, *34*(4), 66-84.

Zheng, P., et al (2017). Smart homes and home health monitoring technologies for older adults: A systematic review. International journal of medical informatics, 101, 44-59.

Zuboff, S., "The Age of Surveillance Capitalism: The Fight for a Human Future at the New Frontier of Power". Public Affairs, 2019.